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Madam Chair, Members of the Committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify as a proponent of HB 2526. KASB’s permanent policy positions
adopted by our members includes the following statement:

Capital costs should continue to be the responsibility of local districts through local bond
issues and capital outlay levies, provided both receive state equalization aid that meets
constitutional standards of equity. Arbitrary limits on the amount of school district bond
issues should not be imposed.

KASB appears as a proponent of this bill due to recent changes in the capital improvement aid formula
that ties the equalization schedule to the district with the lowest assessed valuation per pupil. That district
is now, and is likely to always be, USD 207 Fort Leavenworth, on a military base with almost no taxable
valuation.

As a result, USD 207 valuation per pupil essentially never increases, while most other districts’ valuation
per pupil increases over time. The state aid formula for bond and interest pays a percentage of annual
bond and interest costs that decreases as AVPP rises compared to the lowest APP district. Therefore, over
time, the percentage of aid paid to all other districts will decline. Because bond and interest costs NOT
covered by state aid are paid by local property taxes, there will be two consequences.

First, it means shifting more reliance on school facility costs to the property tax, which is not only
generally considered the most unpopular revenue source, but already varies significantly among Kansas
counties and other taxing units, an especially critical problems in rural areas.

Second, because of the significant difference in local property wealth among local school districts, it
means low wealth districts will require much higher property tax levies than higher wealth districts to
raise revenue for school facilities. This will produce exactly the “wealth-based disparity” the Kansas
Supreme Court has repeatedly found to be unconstitutional because it creates differences in the
educational opportunities available to students in districts across the state.

HB 2526 may not be the only way to address this issue, but it appears to be an acceptable method. KASB
urges the committee to support a solution to this pending problem. Thank you for your consideration.